## 3 Ruakaka

Description and geomorphology

Ruakaka is located in the centre of Bream Bay, approximately 25 km south of Whangarei.

The site includes approximately 8 km of open coast shoreline situated either side of the Ruakaka River mouth and approximately 2 km of estuary shoreline within the river entrance.

The open coast shoreline has a sandy beach comprising fine sand. The beach has a minimal berm width of less than 5 m above the high tide line. The dune system is in a relatively healthy state mostly covered by spinifex vegetation. The dune system has crest elevations of between RL 3 to 9 m.

The shoreline within the estuary has a more varied dune crest elevation, which has experienced larger shoreline fluctuations over time. The river entrance has spit features located on both the north and south side of the channel. The spit features have grown in toward the channel over time. The main channel follows the southern shoreline and has caused some erosion to the inside of the spit.

## Local considerations

The estuary shoreline and associated spits are dynamic and have changed significantly over time. The spit south of the river has generally built out in a northerly direction. A historic spit feature has been stranded within the estuary as the shoreline has prograded seaward. This is the current location of the Ruakaka camp ground. We have used the historic 1960 shoreline as a baseline in this area to allow for the inlet migration over time.

There is a grouted rock seawall located along the historic spit feature at the base on the camp ground. The shoreline is eroding on the western side of this feature and the seawall has been undermined and has slumped.

## Coastal Erosion Hazard Assessment

The site is split into six cells based on differences in dune height and geomorphology. While most cells are characterised as nonconsolidated beach type, some of the estuarine shoreline is soft cliffed material.



Site Photograph A (estuary)



Site Photograph B (south)



Site Photograph C (north)

Adopted component values are presented within Table 3-1. Short-term fluctuations are generally larger along the northern beaches and much smaller within the estuary mouth. Long-term trends range from accretional to the north of the

17

rivermouth to erosional within the estuary and slightly accretional to the south.

Histograms of individual components and resultant CEHZ distances using a Monte Carlo technique are shown in Figure 3-1 to Figure 3-6. Coastal Erosion Hazard Zone widths are presented within Table 3-2 and Figure 3-7.

For cell 3CC the cliff projection method has been adopted with future shoreline distances shown in Figure 3-4 and Table 3-2 instead of CEHZ distances.

CEHZ1 distances are generally 21 to 26 m for all sites. CEHZ2 values are 39 to 55 m on the open

coast and up to 69 m within the estuary where historic erosion rates have been high. CEHZ's have been mapped in agreement with the calculated values, although the 1960 shoreline has been used as a baseline to allow for potential future inlet migration.

Note that cell 3A has experienced accretion since about 1961 over approximately 600 m, with CEHZs offset from the accreted most recent shoreline.

Figure 3-8 shows the available historic shorelines for Ruakaka.

Site		3. Ruakaka											
Cell		3A 3B		3C	3CC1	3D	3E						
Cell	E	1732400	1732097	1731661	0	1731961	1731961						
centre (NZTM)	N	6027340	6026145	6026037	0	6025052	6025052						
Chainage, n	n (from N/W)	0-2000	2000-2460	2460-3215	3215-3615	3615-4385	4385-9800						
Morphology	Iorphology		Inlet	t Estuary Bank Sof		Inlet	Dune						
Short-	Min	10	10	2	0	10	10						
	Mode	20	20	4	0	15	15						
	Max	30	30	6	0	25	25						
Dune/Cliff elevation	Min	4.5	1.7	1.5	1.5	2.2	2.2						
(m above toe or scarp)	Mode	7.0	3.6	3.2	3.2	4.5	6.1						
	Max	8.8	5.9	6.3	6.3	5.9	7.9						
Stable angle (deg)	Min	30	30	30	26.6	30	30						
	Mode	32	32	32	30.2	32	32						
	Max	34	34	34	33.7	34	34						
Long- term (m)	Min	0.5	0.5	-0.1	-0.05	0.15	0.15						
erosion	Mode	0.3	0.3	-0.3	-0.1	0.05	0.05						
+ve accretion	Max	0.1	0.1	-0.5	-0.15	0	0						
Closure	Min	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.75	0.06	0.06						
slope	Mode	0.024	0.024	0.045	0.5	0.023	0.023						
(beaches)	Max	0.019	0.02	0.045	0.25	0.02	0.018						
	Min	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19						
SLR 2065 (m)	Mode	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29						
. ,	Max	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39						
SLR 2115	Min	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45						
(m)	Mode	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77						

Table 3-1	Component	values for	Erosion	Hazard A	ssessment

Site		3. Ruakaka										
Cell		3A	3B	3C	3CC1	3D	3E					
	Max	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1					

<sup>1</sup>Updated using cliff projection methodology.



Figure 3-1 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2015, 2065 and 2115 timeframes for cell 3A



Figure 3-2 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2015, 2065 and 2115 timeframes for cell 3B



*Figure 3-3 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2015, 2065 and 2115 timeframes for cell 3C* 



Figure 3-4 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2015, 2065 and 2115 timeframes for cell 3CC



Figure 3-5 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2015, 2065 and 2115 timeframes for cell 3D



Figure 3-6 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2015, 2065 and 2115 timeframes for cell 3E

Site		3. Ruakaka																	
Cell		3A		3B		3C		3CC		3D			3E						
Time		2015	2065	2115	2015	2065	2115	2015	2065	2115	2015	2065	2115	2015	2065	2115	2015	2065	2115
	Min	-15	1	10	-12	2	20	-4	-15	-28	0	-4	-7	-12	-12	-13	-13	-13	-13
	99%	-17	-6	2	-14	-4	6	-4	-19	-35	0	-5	-11	-14	-16	-20	-15	-17	-21
	95%	-19	-10	-4	-16	-8	-1	-5	-21	-40	0	-6	-13	-15	-19	-24	-16	-20	-25
	90%	-20	-13	-8	-17	-10	-5	-5	-23	-43	0	-6	-14	-16	-20	-27	-17	-21	-28
	80%	-22	-15	-13	-19	-13	-10	-6	-25	-47	0	-7	-16	-17	-22	-30	-18	-23	-31
e	70%	-23	-17	-17	-21	-15	-14	-6	-26	-49	0	-8	-18	-18	-23	-33	-19	-24	-34
sdan	66%	-24	-18	-18	-21	-15	-15	-6	-26	-50	0	-8	-18	-18	-24	-33	-19	-25	-35
xcee	60%	-24	-19	-20	-22	-16	-17	-7	-27	-52	0	-9	-19	-19	-24	-35	-20	-26	-36
(m) E	50%	-25	-21	-23	-23	-18	-20	-7	-28	-54	0	-9	-21	-20	-26	-37	-21	-27	-38
EHZ (	40%	-26	-22	-26	-24	-20	-23	-7	-30	-57	0	-10	-22	-21	-27	-39	-22	-28	-41
of C	33%	-27	-24	-28	-25	-21	-25	-7	-30	-58	0	-10	-24	-21	-27	-41	-22	-29	-43
oility	30%	-28	-24	-29	-25	-22	-26	-8	-31	-59	0	-10	-24	-22	-28	-42	-23	-29	-44
obak	20%	-29	-26	-33	-27	-24	-30	-8	-32	-62	0	-11	-26	-23	-29	-45	-24	-31	-47
Рг	10%	-31	-29	-38	-29	-26	-35	-8	-34	-66	0	-12	-30	-25	-32	-49	-26	-33	-52
	5%	-32	-31	-42	-30	-28	-39	-9	-36	-69	0	-13	-32	-26	-33	-53	-27	-35	-55
	1%	-34	-35	-50	-32	-32	-46	-10	-38	-73	0	-16	-38	-27	-36	-60	-28	-38	-62
	Max	-36	-41	-66	-34	-40	-59	-10	-40	-78	0	-21	-52	-29	-43	-72	-31	-43	-76
	CEHZ1		-24		-21			-26			-8*			-24			-25		
CEHZ		-42			-39			-69		-32*			-53			-55			

Table 3-2 Coastal Erosion Hazard Zone Widths

\*Updated using cliff projection methodology, so distance to future cliff toe position has been tabulated. Actual CEHZ width will be greater depending on cliff height and stable slope angle.

₩ U

0

A4 SCALE 1:35,000

04

0.8

1.2

1.6

2 (km)



ARCFILE

PROJECT No.

1:35,000

1001049

Tonkin+Taylor

105 Carlton Gore Rd, Newmarket, Auckland

www.tonkintaylor.co.nz

1001049-000-CEHZ001.mxd SCALE (AT A4 SIZE)

FIGURE No.

**Coastal Erosion Hazard Assessment** 

Ruakaka

Site: 3

Figure 3-7

Rev. 2

