## BEFORE THE WHANGAREI DISTRICT COUNCIL AND NORTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

**AND** 

**IN THE MATTER** of a resource consent application by Northport

Limited under section 88 of the Resource Management Act 1991 for a port expansion

project at Marsden Point

**APPLICATION NO.** LU2200107 (WDC)

APP.040976.01.01 (NRC)

## ADDENDUM TO STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE OF BRETT LEWIS HOOD

(PLANNING)

13 September 2023





## ADDENDUM TO STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE OF BRETT HOOD

- 1. My name is Brett Lewis Hood. My qualifications and experience are as set out in my statement of evidence in chief dated 25 August 2023.
- 2. In the process of preparing for the marine ecology expert conferencing I have become aware that some parts of my statement of evidence in chief incorrectly/inconsistently describe the conclusions in Dr Kelly's statement of evidence in chief in respect to effects on marine ecology.
- 3. The relevant paragraphs of my statement of evidence in chief are 7.32, 8.13, 8.74, and 8.188.
- 4. To clarify, my understanding of Dr Kelly's evidence in terms of effects on marine ecology are as set out in Table 1 (paragraph 35) of his statement of evidence in chief, and that:
  - (a) Effects on threatened or at-risk species, and the Significant Ecological Areas identified in the Proposed Regional Plan, will be in the range of negligible to less than minor;1 and effects on other potential areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna as determined under the criteria in Appendix 5 of the RPS can be kept within minor and/or transitory levels.<sup>2</sup>
  - (b) There will be no significant adverse effects.3
- 5. For the avoidance of any doubt, I confirm that my conclusions in respect to those provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity (including Policy D.2.18 of the PRP) are unchanged.

## **Brett Hood**

13 September 2023

Dr Shane Kelly EIC, paragraph 36(a) Dr Shane Kelly EIC, paragraph 36(b)

Dr Shane Kelly EIC, paragraph 35. For the avoidance of doubt, my understanding is - and Dr Kelly has confirmed during personal communications with him - that Dr Kelly's (conservative) assessments of certain "moderate-high" levels of effects (refer to paragraph 15 of Dr Kelly's EIC) do not equate to "significant" adverse effects.