

Draft Annual Plan 2014/15

Summary of Submissions

Table of contents

Section: Zero Rates.....	1
Section: Sale of leasehold investment property	3
Section: Fees and charges.....	8
Section: Maori Committee	9
Section: Waiora Northland Water	11
Section: Environment Fund	13
Section: Pest management kauri dieback.....	14
Section: Kotuku dam	16
Section: Transport / Infrastructure	16
Section: Global.....	19
Section: Other	22
Section: Unitary Authority.....	29
Section: Surf Life Saving Northland.....	30
Section: GE.....	31

The following summary is ordered by the section of the Draft Annual Plan, starting with specific areas for consultation. It concludes with global submissions, other sections (where submitters made general submissions on the plan or matters not being consulted on) and surf lifesaving and GE (which received submissions). The summary is a quick reference tool for councillors and should be read in conjunction with the original submissions.

Section: Zero Rates

Submission DAP 2014/15.6 by Ms Catherine Adams

Summary: Submitter opposes council increasing rates. Funds for any development should come from (another source).

Relief Sought: Don't increase the rates

Submission DAP 2014/15.13 by Mr Billy Leonard

Summary: Submitter supports the use of investment income for core services. States that council should hold no surplus cash and should not be making investments, and should rely on rates and fees only.

Requests that rates and fees be reduced to nil until all funds are used up and Northland Inc be closed.

Relief Sought: Use investment income for core services.

Submission DAP 2014/15.16 by Northland District Health Board

Summary:

Submitter states that sustainable employment is a key issue for Northlanders, and that investing in economic development and employment is a better long term solution than subsidising rates in the short term.

Submitter raises concern that no economic development projects have yet been approved and urges the NRC to work closely with iwi in particular to address inequities in employment.

Relief Sought: Invest in economic development and employment, and address's inequities in employment.

Submission DAP 2014/15.21 by Mr Charles Randall Tutton

Summary: Submitter supports zero rates rise.

Relief Sought: Provide for zero rates rise.

Submission DAP 2014/15.26 by Farmers of New Zealand Inc

Summary: Submitter supports a zero rate increase but not how it is achieved, and raises questions in regard to the manner in which the zero increase is being enabled.

Submitter would strongly support the introduction of a Council Controlled Trading Organisation to manage all NRC investments outside the council.

Relief Sought:

That the council consider a total review of the efficacy of Northland Inc. and how the council operates in its investment area.

That the council be removed from direct involvement in investments by setting up a CCTO. Under the appropriate Statement of Intent require this investment company to grow the portfolio for the benefit of the Council and the region.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter is supportive of the rate reduction to close to zero for all ratepayers, as this is in line with Central Government's desire to keep rates affordable.

Relief Sought: Adopt zero rates increase.

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: Submitter supports the zero rates rise, and continues to support principles for rating (outlined in submission, including UAGC, use of targeted rates etc).

Relief Sought: Adopt zero rates rise.

Submission DAP 2014/15.201 by Dive! Tutukaka

Summary: Submitter does not support a reduction in the amount of funding for economic development. States that there is a relationship between sufficient funding and the ability to achieve and succeed.

Relief Sought: Do not reduce funding for economic development.

Submission DAP 2014/15.217 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Summary: Rate increases as there may be should be kept in line with inflation and council's zero rates ie the reduction in rates income is, to some extent at least, being funded by the redirection of some of the income Council gains from investments. This is not sustainable in the long term. Property value rates bear no relationship to either a ratepayer's ability to pay" or to the ratepayer's use of a council service. For farmers this means a heavy tax on their key asset, land, to pay for all manner of community services, many of which they rarely if ever use. Gives 11 ideas for rating reform for all local government. (Including more/greater use of targeted rates, benchmarking etc.)

Submitter thinks council's drive to keep costs down and to not increase rates does not appear to extend to keeping the costs of resource and user fees, particularly permitted activity dairy shed effluent systems inspection fees, under tight control. Notes change in local government purpose.

Relief Sought: Tighter control on fees associated with resource consents and permitted development particularly permitted activity dairy shed effluent systems inspection fees.

That Council commit to setting its annual rate increases at levels no greater than the rate of inflation.

That Council utilise the UAGC facility and set the UAGC at the maximum level allowable under the law.

That the Council advocate to central government for meaningful reform of local government funding.

Submission DAP 2014/15.217 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Summary:

Federated Farmers would prefer that the nil rates increase was being delivered by the Council achieving costs reductions rather than reducing the redirection but supports the \$1M not being redirected provided Council continues its drive to keep costs down, particularly in the area of resource and user fees.

Relief Sought:

That Council use an additional \$1M of investment income to keep rates low and deliver more core services and continue to undertake development work and improvement projects in rural Northland.

Submission DAP 2014/15.227 by Mrs Margaret Hicks

Summary: Close down Northland Inc. Use council staff for assessing economic development and money saved for core business. Has searched what Northland inc is doing and believes it is all about exploiting Northland's resources. E.g. mining.

Relief Sought: Stop funding Northland inc. Use money for core business like biosecurity.

Section: Sale of leasehold investment property

Submission DAP 2014/15.4 by Mr William Higgins

Summary: Submitter supports sale of leasehold properties if council sticks to the conditions proposed.

Relief Sought: Adopt the leasehold property conditions for sale.

Submission DAP 2014/15.5 by Mr Athol Cave

Summary: Submitter supports concept of sale of Whangarei leasehold properties and diversifying portfolio across Northland because this will allow council to re-invest in areas of strategic importance and encourage existing leaseholders to do more with their property and create additional employment opportunities.

Relief Sought: Include the potential sale of leasehold properties in the Annual Plan.

Submission DAP 2014/15.9 by Spire Accountants on behalf of Konini Land Ltd

Summary: Submitter supports the proposed sale of leasehold investment properties on a discretionary basis. Submitter cites difficulty in selling leasehold properties, uncertainty for tenants, and an emerging bias to development in freehold areas.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of leasehold properties on a discretionary basis, as proposed.

Submission DAP 2014/15.9 by Spire Accountants on behalf of Konini Land Ltd

Summary: Submitter opposes the removal of the requirement of the original criteria that gives the current leaseholder first option to purchase. States that leaseholders have often invested in the properties and have a long-term relationship with council, and that any negotiations would be based on market value.

Relief Sought: Do not remove the requirement that the council offer current leaseholders the first option to purchase their property.

Submission DAP 2014/15.10 by Spire Chartered Accountants on behalf of Ness Properties Limited

Summary: Submitter supports the proposed sale of leasehold investment properties on a discretionary basis. Submitter cites difficulty in selling leasehold properties due to a stigma becoming attached to them, uncertainty for tenants, and a emerging bias to development in freehold areas. States that the value on these leasehold properties should be set at market value and not above.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of leasehold properties on a discretionary basis, as proposed, at market value.

Submission DAP 2014/15.10 by Spire Chartered Accountants on behalf of Ness Properties Limited

Summary: Submitter opposes the removal of the requirement of the original criteria that gives the current leaseholder first option to purchase. States that leaseholders have often invested in the properties and have a long-term relationship with council, and that any negotiations would be based on market value.

Relief Sought: Do not remove the requirement that the council offer current leaseholders the first option to purchase their property.

Submission DAP 2014/15.12 by Mr Glyn and Mrs Noleine Tyler Davies

Summary: Supports the proposed sale of leasehold properties.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of leasehold properties, as proposed.

Submission DAP 2014/15.13 by Mr Billy Leonard

Summary: Submitter supports the sale of leasehold investment properties. States that all properties should be sold, with revised conditions (detailed).

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of all leasehold investment properties.

Submission DAP 2014/15.20 by Mrs Beverly Hopkins

Summary: Submitter states interest in purchasing leasehold property.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of leasehold properties.

Submission DAP 2014/15.22 by Mr Paul Anthony Gordon

Summary: Submitter supports the sale of leasehold land, and requests that leaseholders have the first option to purchase.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of leasehold properties, with leasee's given first option to purchase.

Submission DAP 2014/15.23 by Leith Family Trust

Summary: Submitter supports the strategic review of leasehold properties, and the identification of properties that may have future benefit or provide long term income streams for the NRC.

The submitter supports the release for sale of a particular property that they believe does not meet these requirements.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of leasehold properties on a discretionary basis, as proposed.

Submission DAP 2014/15.26 by Farmers of New Zealand Inc

Summary: Raises concern that commercial decisions should not be made in the political environment, and that sale or development of property should be based on sound commercial decision making not through public submission.

Relief Sought: That the Council separate its investments from the council through a CCTO governed by a professional Board that can make appropriate decisions.

Submission DAP 2014/15.29 by RRMO Ltd

Summary: Submitter supports the potential sale of council leasehold investment properties.

States that the best ownership model is for either lessor or leasee to fully own and control the leases, and that current leasing model is driving down marketability.

Relief Sought: Include the potential sale of leasehold properties in the Annual Plan.

Submission DAP 2014/15.33 by Mr Mark Workman

Summary: Submitter supports the sale of free hold on properties, citing benefits in property maintenance, business confidence, and employment.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of free hold on properties.

Submission DAP 2014/15.39 by Mr Geoffery Cochrane

Summary: Submitter supports the sale of leasehold properties, and brings particular attention to those in the Lower Dent Street area. States that leasehold land is more risky to develop and uneconomical.

Relief Sought: That the ownership of land be moved to the private sector.

Submission DAP 2014/15.81 by Mr Richard Johnson

Summary: Submitter supports the sale of 21 year leasehold investment properties with 21 year perpetually renewable leases. States that the land should be sold to the

lease only, and that this will encourage development and improvement, and free up capital for the NRC.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of leasehold investment properties to leaser's.

Submission DAP 2014/15.81 by Mr Richard Johnson

Summary: Submitter opposes the sale of leasehold land at, or above, market value, as the lease has a registered vested interest in the land.

Relief Sought: That council declare the amount of lease interest, in the calculated land value of council investment properties.

Submission DAP 2014/15.81 by Mr Richard Johnson

Summary: Submitter opposes selling leasehold investment properties on the open market, as proposed in the long term.

Relief Sought: Do not allow for the sale of leasehold land on the open market.

Submission DAP 2014/15.87 by Mr Desmond Cogan

Summary: Submitter states that if leasehold land is to be put up for sale, it should in the first instance be offered to the current lease holders for purchase.

Relief Sought: Allow for current lease holders to purchase any leasehold land that is put up for sale.

Submission DAP 2014/15.102 by Mr Glen Hosking

Summary: Submitter supports proposed sale of leasehold properties.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of leasehold properties as proposed.

Submission DAP 2014/15.162 by Mr David Lourie

Summary: Support sale if the property titles and histories are properly researched to ensure there are no treaty claims against them and Maori are consulted early to give time for research to occur. Wants all sales to be publically notified.

Relief Sought: Amend criteria to ensure property titles and histories are properly researched to ensure there are no treaty claims against them and there is public notification of sale.

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: Submitter supports the proposed guidelines for free-holding Council's leasehold properties. Submitter acknowledges this process needs to be subject to a high degree of transparency and public engagement.

Relief Sought: Adopt the proposed criteria for free-holding Councils leasehold properties, and undertake the process with a high degree of transparency.

Submission DAP 2014/15.201 by Dive! Tutukaka

Summary: Submitter supports the sale of leasehold investment property.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of leasehold investment property.

Submission DAP 2014/15.215 by BBS Timbers Ltd

Summary: Supports the sale of leasehold investment properties, with reference to a specific property. Outlines social and economical benefits to Northland of free holding land, and discusses several other options for progressing Northland.

Relief Sought: Provide for the sale of leasehold investment properties, as proposed.

Submission DAP 2014/15.217 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Summary: Supports sale but notes Council should not be too concerned about the proposed future use of a building.

Relief Sought:

That Council proceed with the sale of its leasehold properties that are held for investment purposes and which do not form part of its core functions.

That the council retain the criteria for the sale of its leasehold properties, but consider reducing the reliance it places on the building or business being sold demonstrating clear economic development benefit

Submission DAP 2014/15.247 by Mr L W (Bill) Roberts

Summary: Opposes proposal to sell because it does not go far enough. Wants council sell all commercial leasehold properties because its not council's core business to operate a commercial property portfolio. The return at around 6% could be achieved with listed property companies. Also because the submitter does not know the future of the countdown Kensington property they think council does not have the connections and commercial flexibility to address a major tenant's needs (in a timely manner).

Council should not be in competition with the private sector. All property in Whangarei is a risk -what if Christchurch event happened?

All of our reviews of these properties and arbitration time and money shows we should spend time on our core functions. The market doesn't like the uncertainty associated with the lease review process. Why change our policy now and not sell if we have sold to an adjoining owner.

Recommends changes to the criteria.

Supports reinvesting in a diversified portfolio (not in direct property investment and Whangarei).

Relief Sought: Leaseholder should have first option to buy.

There should be no requirement to demonstrate clear economic benefit because the move to freehold increases the value, wealth of the region and is money in council's pocket and it can diversify investment.

Supports reinvesting in a diversified portfolio.

Submission DAP 2014/15.248 by Mr Adrian Broughton

Summary: Conditional support for the proposal the sale of leasehold properties. Deal first with existing leaseholders due to uncertainty for leaseholders if there was a new "landlord". Significant departure from historical process to not give first option to leaseholders. If the lease was transferred as is then the submitter withdraws support for the proposal. Current leasehold arrangements inhibit economic growth.

Considers sale of these properties into freehold title will unlock significant value and opportunity to the region. Historic reason for ownership of property (as harbour board and for council Harbour functions) no longer exists. Council investor and landlord functions have not been performed well.

Relief Sought: Change criteria to deal first with existing leaseholders

Section: Fees and charges

Submission DAP 2014/15.25 by Mr Dave Roke

Summary: Submitter notes a reference to 'restricted coastal activities' (Draft Charging Policy, Appendix 1, Note 6), and notes that all reference to 'restricted coastal activities' was removed from the Regional Coastal Plan in 2010 (relevant NRC resolution included to submission).

Relief Sought: That Note 6 on page 134 of the Draft Annual Plan be deleted as it is no longer relevant.

Submission DAP 2014/15.26 by Farmers of New Zealand Inc

Summary: Submitter opposes policy of setting charges based on inflation. States that increasing fees based on a national average of monopoly service providers or the CPI does not reflect the true or actual costs.

Relief Sought:

That the council have an accurate understanding of its cost structure and determine its level of efficiency like any organisation in the private sector.

Submission DAP 2014/15.217 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Summary: Supports inspection and ensuring stringent level of farm dairy effluent monitoring but concerned about fee increases particularly since 2009. States proposed \$171 is an increase of some 4.3% at a time when annual inflation is very low, 2.4% overall in the two years March 2012 –March 2014.

Relief Sought:

That the Council use its best endeavours to ensure farm dairy effluent monitoring charges are kept as low as possible, and in particular that they are kept affordable.

Section: Maori Committee

Submission DAP 2014/15.7 by Mr R P Chapple

Summary: Submitter does not support the Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory Committee because:

- i) there is no such thing as a 'real' Maori
- ii) the Treaty of Waitangi did not form a sovereignty partnership, it was a treaty of cessation, and
- iii) all citizens are equal
- iv) consultation with Maori as manawhenua is wrong given most land has been sold into private ownership.

Relief Sought: Remove reference to the committee and Maori.

Submission DAP 2014/15.16 by Northland District Health Board

Summary: Submitter supports improved partnerships between iwi/hapu and the NRC through the Te Tai Tokerau Regional Maori Advisory Committee.

Relief Sought: Continue to provide for improved partnerships through the Te Tai Tokerau Regional Maori Advisory Committee.

Submission DAP 2014/15.19 by Mr Thomas and Mrs Beate Lauterbach

Summary: Submitter supports the NRC's proposal to foster stronger ties with Taitokerau mana whenua/tangata whenua by creating the Te Tai tokerau Regional Maori Advisory committee.

Submitter requests that support and respect be shown for the precautionary and prohibitive GE policies of all Taitokerau Iwi authorities for their respective rohe.

Relief Sought: That the Te Tai Tokerau Regional Maori Advisory Committee be provided for and that support and respect be shown for the precautionary and prohibitive GE policies of all Taitokerau Iwi authorities for their respective rohe.

Submission DAP 2014/15.26 by Farmers of New Zealand Inc

Summary: Submitter opposes the introduction of a Maori Advisory Committee. Raises concern that this would compromise the basic tenant of the rule of law requiring all to be treated equally.

Submitter suggests that if the Council had real concern for those facing economic and social hardship it would consider economic well-being a core issue and act accordingly.

Relief Sought: No specific relief sought.

Submission DAP 2014/15.134 by Mr Rolf Mueller Glodde

Summary: Submitter supports the proposal to foster stronger ties with Taitokerau mana whenua/tangata whenua by creating the Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory committee.

Relief Sought: Provide for the Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory committee.

Submission DAP 2014/15.146 by Ms Shushila Ajani

Summary: Submitter supports the proposal to foster stronger ties with Taitokerau mana whenua/tangata whenua by creating the Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory committee.

Relief Sought: Provide for the Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory committee.

Submission DAP 2014/15.162 by Mr David Lourie

Summary: Conditional support for the Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory Committee on the basis that the committee must achieve real outcomes that benefit tangata whenua.

Relief Sought: Establish Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory Committee

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter supports the improvement of relationships with Maori across all of local government, however raises concern that these structures must not impede the relationship with any one Council or make it more difficult both in capacity and capability for Maori to work regionally with all Councils.

Relief Sought: would like council to work with FNDC and all Northland Councils to develop a model that works both for Maori within all levels of local government in the Northland region

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: Submitter supports the Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory Committee initiative

Relief Sought: That Council progress work on the Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory Committee initiative.

Submission DAP 2014/15.225 by Ms Zelka Linda Grammer and Family

Summary: Supports new committee

Relief Sought: Establish committee

Submission DAP 2014/15.239 by Ms Beverley Gott

Summary: Supports Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory Committee

Relief Sought: Set up Te Taitokerau Regional Maori Advisory Committee

Section: Waioira Northland Water

DAP 2014/15.15.2 in Submission DAP 2014/15.15 by Mr Alan W Agnew

Summary: Submitter does not support the fencing of all bush creeks and rivers. States that fencing can cause weed build-up which has associated issues, and that stock can keep weed growth down. Submitter suggests that farmers be encouraged to have more water troughs, and where necessary construct concrete fords across waterways.

Relief Sought: While the submitter does not specifically state the relief sought, it is inferred that relief sought is: Provide for consideration of alternatives to fencing all bush creeks and rivers.

Submission DAP 2014/15.16 by Northland District Health Board

Summary:

Submitter supports the aims of Waioira Northland water, and the increased investment in monitoring.

Submitter urges a more rapid response to the freshwater degradation of Northland catchments.

Relief Sought: Provide for the Waioira Northland water programme as proposed, and include a more rapid response to freshwater degradation.

Submission DAP 2014/15.24 by Mr Tony Foster

Summary: Submitter supports a stronger commitment to improving water and river quality.

Relief Sought: That punitive action be taken against farmers who do not fence rivers and allow animals in waterways.

DAP 2014/15.150.1 in Submission DAP 2014/15.150 by Environment River Patrol

Summary: Submitter raises concern in regard to sediment problems in the Wairua/Wairoa rivers, and the Whangarei Harbour, and that unfenced beef cattle in both catchments, and dairy stock with access to smaller creeks and drains, contribute in a major way to the sediment problem.

Raises concern in regard to reporting and monitoring of fencing on the riparian of waterways. Raises concern in regard to stock effluent disposal in waterways.

Submitter raises concern that an increase in Primary Industries production may be led by intensification of beef and dairy farming, which may involve the breaking in of the last lands that give sustainability to manu and the threatened bee industry, and may result in more critical losses to the biodiversity of nature and more degraded waterways. Supporting documentation attached to submission.

Relief Sought:

That NRC

- provides independent contract assessors to assess the requirements of 'stock exclusion fencing and margins' to dairy farms.

- adopts same dairy fencing policy for beef cattle farms in dairy regions ie. sign beef farmers into the same accord as dairy farmers.
- adopts an 'industry standard, stock exclusion fencing code' that covers post, wire, temporary fence, riparian margins etc.
- changes water testing distance from 20 metres from POD to 10 metres for dairy farms discharging to water.
- creates an emphasis on the actual POD (of dairy farms) and determines a criteria measure of the particular effluent pond system's performance to ensure it is 'fit for purpose' and not relying on the 'wash away effect' when it enters larger waters.

Submission DAP 2014/15.162 by Mr David Lourie

Summary: Supports the extra \$165,000 funding for the Waioira Northland Water monitoring programme and improving the quality of water. Wants cost effect regulation to allow farmers, foresters, industry, urban dwellers and district councils to adopt sound catchment management practices.

Relief Sought: Retain extra \$165,000 funding for the Waioira Northland Water monitoring programme

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: Submitter acknowledges the regions vulnerability to drought and the risks that unavailability of water could pose to inward investment.

Submitter supports Waioira Northland Water as an initiative.

Relief Sought: That the NRC continue to work with the district councils to develop region-wide strategies to safeguard Northland against future water shortages and to implement good management practice.

Submission DAP 2014/15.202 by Kaipara District Council

Summary: Submitter supports the primary objective of the programme and working with industry on good management practices, landowners and community groups through the E Fund.

Relief Sought: Wants kai iwi lakes identified as a priority one catchment and council to work on the Kaipara Harbour catchment despite it being priority 2 and poutu work to continue over the 2014/15 financial year.

Submission DAP 2014/15.216 by Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited

Summary: Fonterra supports the additional 165k for environmental monitoring stating that 'good information underpins good decision-making'. Also support collaborative process with a view to plan changes by 2015.

Relief Sought: Keep the additional 165k

Submission DAP 2014/15.218 by Mrs Fiona King

Summary: Supports Waioira Northland Water programme and river management committee.

Relief Sought: Continue with improvements to the Awanui flood scheme.

Submission DAP 2014/15.227 by Mrs Margaret Hicks

Summary: Supports the project. Notes relationship between sediment control and flood risk and bank erosion. wants nutrient run off reduced. Mangroves are an effect of poor management of our catchments.

Relief Sought: Mandatory riparian fencing and planting of waterways. Enact bylaws for this. Introduce regulation for nutrient run off and land clearance and forestry. Improve flood control through clearing debris from streams.

Submission DAP 2014/15.241 by Mr Chris Richmond

Summary: Supports additional water monitoring and spending of \$165,000. Would like council to monitor changes in sediment and nutrients in areas where work is being done by landowners and community groups to try to reduce sediment and nutrients reaching waterways.

Relief Sought: Retain additional \$165,000

Section: Environment Fund

Submission DAP 2014/15.16 by Northland District Health Board

Summary: Submitter supports greater investment in the Environment Fund.

Relief Sought: Provide for greater investment in the Environment Fund, as proposed.

Submission DAP 2014/15.26 by Farmers of New Zealand Inc

Summary: Submitter considers the Environment Fund approach to non-regulatory policy of environment improvements as non-strategic and inefficient.

Relief Sought: Review how the Council engages with the private sector to help accomplish key goals and objectives.

Submission DAP 2014/15.93 by Mr Mark Vincent

Summary: Submitter supports the proposed increase in funding for the Environmental Fund, and endorses the approach of linking the fund to completion of a Water Quality Improvement Plan.

Relief Sought: Provide for the increase in funding for the Environmental Fund, as proposed.

Submission DAP 2014/15.202 by Kaipara District Council

Summary: Supports the increase.

Relief Sought: Retain the increase.

Submission DAP 2014/15.216 by Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited

Summary: supports the proposal in increase the Environment Fund by \$235,000 due to its potential to make significant gains in water quality and biodiversity outcomes when considered alongside other funding initiatives.

Sees potential synergies with their initiatives such as Supply Fonterra and the Community Investment in Water programmes.

Relief Sought: increase the Environment Fund by \$235,000

Submission DAP 2014/15.217 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Summary: Supports increasing the Environment Fund by an extra \$235,000 and states the best way to achieve environmental improvements, particularly in rural areas, is for councils to offer incentives for such things as riparian fencing and planting.

Relief Sought:

That Council implement its proposal to increase the Environment Fund by an extra \$235,000.

Submission DAP 2014/15.241 by Mr Chris Richmond

Summary: Supports increasing E Fund because it is a valuable source of funds for landowners and community groups

Relief Sought: Retain additional E Funding

Section: Pest management kauri dieback

Submission DAP 2014/15.8 by Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility

Summary: Submitter raises concern in regard to the use of glyphosate as a pesticide.

Relief Sought:

That Council refrain from using herbicides containing glyphosate or its salts for spraying in public areas, and that action be taken to ban the use of glyphosate-based herbicides in public places: roadsides, parks, reserves, community gardens, etc.

Submission DAP 2014/15.13 by Mr Billy Leonard

Summary: Submitter opposes the control of pests by way of 'indiscriminate killing of animals'.

Relief Sought: That all funding for pest management be diverted to research and deployment of birth-controlling ingestibles.

DAP 2014/15.16.3 in Submission DAP 2014/15.16 by Northland District Health Board

Summary: Submitter supports the contribution to pest management for Kauri dieback.

Relief Sought: Provide for the proposed contribution to pest management for Kauri dieback.

Submission DAP 2014/15.19 by Mr Thomas and Mrs Beate Lauterbach

Summary: Submitter supports increased spending on biosecurity protection to stop incursions of unwanted new organisms, GMO and otherwise, and supports the budgeting an additional \$66,000 for Kauri crown rot research.

Relief Sought: Adopt the proposals for increased spending on biosecurity protection, and Kauri dieback programme.

Submission DAP 2014/15.134 by Mr Rolf Mueller Glodde

Summary: Submitter supports proposal to budget an extra \$66,000 for Kauri crown rot research.

Relief Sought: Provide for proposed spending on Kauri crown rot research.

Submission DAP 2014/15.146 by Ms Shushila Ajani

Summary: Submitter supports proposal to budget an extra \$66,000 for Kauri crown rot research, and states that spending an extra \$100,000 on this would be even better.

Relief Sought: It is inferred that relief sought is: Raise the amount budgeted for Kauri crown rot research from \$66,000 to \$100,000.

Submission DAP 2014/15.162 by Mr David Lourie

Summary: support the council for additional funding to better understand and reduce the spread of Kauri Die back

Relief Sought: Retain additional funding for Kauri Die back

Submission DAP 2014/15.202 by Kaipara District Council

Summary: Supports additional funding and notes sites in the Kaipara that are affected by the disease. Wants a proactive public education programme and for council to provide additional funding for this.

Relief Sought: More funding than proposed so public education can occur.

Submission DAP 2014/15.225 by Ms Zelka Linda Grammer and Family

Summary: Supports additional funding and wants it increased to 100k.

Relief Sought: Additional Kauri dieback funding increased to 100k

Submission DAP 2014/15.227 by Mrs Margaret Hicks

Summary: Fully supports additional funding and wants strict quarantine measures. Also wants a seed bank established. Get extra money from Northland Inc and close it down.

Relief Sought: More funding.

Submission DAP 2014/15.228 by GE Free Northland

Summary: Submitter supports budgeting of an additional \$66,000 for kauri dieback but wants an additional 34k added to bring the total to 100k. Reasons include need to protect taonga species like Kauri and show more respect for the issues of significance to Northland tangata whenua/mana whenua.

Relief Sought: Budget an additional 100k for kauri dieback.

Submission DAP 2014/15.239 by Ms Beverley Gott

Summary: Supports extra \$66,000 for kauri die back

Submission DAP 2014/15.241 by Mr Chris Richmond

Summary: Supports extra \$66,000for Kauri dieback work. Would like to see interim solutions to prevent footwear risk.

Section: Kotuku dam

Submission DAP 2014/15.4 by Mr William Higgins

Summary: Kotuku dam water should be used to back up water supply (Whau Valley) in Whangarei.

Relief Sought: Re-use Kotuku dam water

Submission DAP 2014/15.16 by Northland District Health Board

Summary: Submitter supports current proposals for river management.

Relief Sought: Adopt proposals for river management.

Submission DAP 2014/15.227 by Mrs Margaret Hicks

Summary: Supports flood risk management projects.

Section: Transport / Infrastructure

Submission DAP 2014/15.14 by Mr Kerry Newton

Summary: Submitter does not support any plan to subsidise a bus service in Kaitaia that is run by private enterprise, or a sports hub in Kaitaia. States that there are more important infrastructure that needs to be provided for.

Relief Sought: Do not provide for the subsidisation of a privately run bus service, or a sports hub, in Kaitaia.

Submission DAP 2014/15.16 by Northland District Health Board

Summary:

Submitter raises concern in regard to road dust and subsequent air pollution, and urges the NRC to continue to work with Northland TLAs and central government to find solutions to this issue.

Relief Sought: Continue to work with TLAs and central government to find solutions to the issue of road dust and associated air pollution.

Submission DAP 2014/15.16 by Northland District Health Board

Summary: Submitter requests greater recognition and investment in the needs of pedestrians and cyclists in future Regional Land Transport Plans.

Relief Sought: Provide for the needs of pedestrians and cyclists in future Regional Land Transport Plans.

Submission DAP 2014/15.18 by Mr Ben and Mrs Kirsten Blampied

Summary: Submitter makes several suggestions to improve Whangarei, including an upgrade of the bus terminal in town, planting Dip Rd/Pipiwai Rd roundabout, re-sealing the lower end of Bank St (ANZ/BNZ bank area) to level out the road, 'sprucing up' Kamo and resealing from Carlton Cres to SH1 North. Also provides a list of roadside areas that need to be targeted for weed removal, making particular reference to the southern entrance to Whangarei.

Relief Sought: Provide for an upgrade of the bank street bus terminal, planting of the Dip Rd/Pipiwai Rd roundabout, levelling out of the lower end of Bank St, 'sprucing up' Kamo and resealing from Carlton Cres to SH1 North. Provide for roadside weed removal.

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary:

Submitter acknowledges the need to have an integrated and cohesive land, sea and air transportation strategy. Submitter outlines the importance of Northland demonstrating a common purpose and having a single voice in respect of land transport in the region.

Relief Sought: That the Council continue to engage with and consult central government, the Region's district councils, Auckland Council and other stakeholders to develop a comprehensive transport policy for Northland.

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: Submitter acknowledges the benefits of the Ultra-fast Broadband Initiative and Rural Broadband Initiative for business in Northland, and congratulates the NRC on their support of fibre uptake.

Relief Sought: No specific relief sought.

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: Submitter raises concern about the impact of flooding on Northland businesses. Submitter supports the flood management work being undertaken throughout the Region and recognises that this work is extensive and requires funding, however the submitter does not support differentiated rates as all members of the community benefit from a reduction in risk to the commercial districts. Also favours the 25 year option for targeted rates.

Relief Sought: No specific relief is sought, however it is inferred that relief sought is: Do not provide for differentiated rates to fund flood management work.

Submission DAP 2014/15.201 by Dive! Tutukaka

Summary: Submitter raises concerns in regard to the need for double lanes on SH1, clarification in the role of rail and focus on investing in road, and the opportunity of a marine park.

Relief Sought: No specific relief sought.

Submission DAP 2014/15.218 by Mrs Fiona King

Summary: Opposes any bus charges for BusAbout, as it is a private business. Opposes recreational charge on facilities that are to support this.

Relief Sought: It is inferred that relief sought is funding for private transport business is not provided for.

Submission DAP 2014/15.227 by Mrs Margaret Hicks

Summary: opposes targeted rate for Whangarei outside of the urban area. No access to public transport in bream bay. Supports extending public road and rail in Northland to reduce emissions.

Submission DAP 2014/15.250 by Sail Rock Cafe

Summary: Submitter wants Shops to Sand Bus service over the Christmas Holiday period in the Mangawhai area to run during 2014/15. Reasons given include increasing usage, population growth from @2k to 20K over the summer, limited parking, importance to local businesses and traffic flow issues especially for emergency services.

Relief Sought: Council to fund the Mangawhai Shops to Sand Bus service over the Christmas Holiday for the next 3 – 5 years.

Submission DAP 2014/15.251 by Mangawhai Business Development Association

Summary: Supports the continuation of the Mangawhai shops to sand bus service over the Christmas period due to the projected increase in patronage, limited parking, emergency services access and importance to businesses.

Relief Sought: Council to fund the Mangawhai Shops to Sand Bus service over the Christmas Holiday for the next 3 – 5 years.

Section: Global

Submission DAP 2014/15.3 by The Renewables Motueka

Summary: The submitter (the Renewables Motueka) requests council adopt a position on the Transpacific Partnership (TPP) and outlines a number of recommendations it wants council to adopt, some of which have been adopted by other councils.

Relief Sought: Adopt the recommendations.

Submission DAP 2014/15.14 by Mr Kerry Newton

Summary: Submitter generally supports proposals in draft plan.

Relief Sought: General support.

Submission DAP 2014/15.109 by Mr Holly Curran

** blank submission**

Submission DAP 2014/15.162 by Mr David Lourie

Summary: Council should allocate funding for studying effects of mining at puhipuhi, determine water pressure and flows in the aquifers and look at other site evidence that illustrates what can happen when there is pressure differential (drill holes collapse and consequences), and levels of mercury in samples and the effects of this release for the Hikurangi Swamp, Wairoa River and into the Kaipara Harbour.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter welcomes the direction NRC is taking in strengthening relationships with the District Councils

Relief Sought: No specific relief sought.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter acknowledges that the core functions of NRC are both public environmental services along with a regulatory function. Doesn't discourage focus on economic development

Relief Sought: That the Objectives on Page 17 be rearranged to reflect core services.

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: Submitter acknowledges that Northland's standard of living is fundamentally dependent on the productive performance of its firms and people, and that businesses and individuals are therefore central to Northland's economic development.

Relief Sought: That the NRC continues to actively demonstrate appreciation of the role that businesses located in Northland play as a driver of a number of economic indicators through employment, investment and the spending of money locally.

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: Submitter outlines the importance of a collaborative approach with other councils, the private sector, central government and many other key stakeholders, in order for Northland to undergo a 'bold transformation'.

Relief Sought: That council explores areas of collaboration and co-operation, including with private-sector providers, that could result in cost savings to individuals and the councils alike.

That Council and business organisations meet to explore options to collaborate and work together to design and deliver agreed programmes needed to achieve Northland's economic goals.

Submission DAP 2014/15.202 by Kaipara District Council

Summary: Submitter notes increased opex for Northland inc from reserve for tourism and asks Northland Inc to work proactively with the Kaipara business associations to identify activities in the district (particularly west coast) for inclusion and promotion. Wants Northland inc to concentrate on areas that are not covered by other organisations and where it can make a difference. KDC's community plans may develop opportunities to work with Ninc.

Relief Sought: Ninc to work on areas where it can make a difference and not overlap with key areas of others. Ninc to work with Kaipara business associations and council on areas identified for specific projects.

Submission DAP 2014/15.216 by Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited

Summary: Fonterra supports the Strategic Direction as outlined in the Draft Annual Plan for 2014/15, in particular Waiora Northland, the Environment Fund and Regional Policy Statement and Regional Plans.

Submission DAP 2014/15.216 by Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited

Summary: Supports the key economic development projects outlined.

Submission DAP 2014/15.217 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Summary: General support for the council's strategic direction but wants review as part of LTP preparations.

Council should pay particular attention to its current proposal for a new Maori advisory committee, following Council's commitment to "... establishing a relationship based on genuine partnership with Maori. The submitter states it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "genuine partnership" and council should be interested in developing such a partnership with all of its communities including the agricultural one.

Relief Sought: That Council conduct a thorough review of its Strategic Direction as part of the forthcoming review of its Long Term Plan.

Submission DAP 2014/15.217 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Summary: Concerned with staffing levels and keeping costs low.

Relief Sought: That Council exercise financial prudence in undertaking its activities, and review its capital expenditure program and funding arrangements as part of the review of the LTP.

That Council continue its “business as usual” approach and strive for efficiencies and cost reductions, in particular by ensuring that the number of Council staff is kept under tight control.

Submission DAP 2014/15.217 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Summary: Wants a fair rating system and for council to use a Uniform Annual Charge and targeted rates to ensure services are appropriately funded by beneficiaries of services.

Relief Sought: use a Uniform Annual Charge and targeted rates more fully.

Submission DAP 2014/15.225 by Ms Zelka Linda Grammer and Family

Summary: Wants council to have a policy for responding to inward correspondence (within a set # of working days), to ensure ratepayer/ customer satisfaction

Relief Sought: policy for responding to inward correspondence

Submission DAP 2014/15.227 by Mrs Margaret Hicks

Summary: Climate change and sea level rise needs to be addressed based on latest IPCC findings. Recent earthworks consents should never have been granted, diverting flood water onto neighbouring properties.

Relief Sought: Decline resource consent applications for development in risk areas.

Submission DAP 2014/15.227 by Mrs Margaret Hicks

Summary: More funding for biosecurity generally to deal with what is here and prevent introductions. Biological controls might not deliver desired outcomes. (control for White fly e.g. given.) We need stricter border controls e.g. fruit fly. Use aerosol sprays.

DAP 2014/15.227.7 in Submission DAP 2014/15.227 by Mrs Margaret Hicks

Summary: Council should be proactive and not reactive in its monitoring and enforcement especially for polluting and hazardous operations and breaches of consent conditions (specific e.g.s of the Solvent Recovery Works and Drain K at Ruakaka given)

Submission DAP 2014/15.227 by Mrs Margaret Hicks

Summary: There are more environmental advantages than disadvantages from mangroves. UN is supporting their protection. lists advantages as carbon sink,

ecosystem support, improve water quality, trap sediments keeping channels clear, protect river banks against erosion, reduce flood damage and mitigate tsunami damage, camouflage unsightly human activity. Disadvantages include view blocking, impede public access and interfere with infrastructure. No evidence that they push out salt marsh. Advantages outweigh disadvantages.

Relief Sought: Rethink policy towards mangroves and only take them out where they are impacting adversely upon infrastructure.

Summary: Toll stadium / events centre should not be funded by ratepayers. Should now be user pays and Whangarei residents should not pay more than other districts because everyone is just as likely to use the facilities.

Submission DAP 2014/15.228 by GE Free Northland

Summary:

We ask that NRC staff and councillors carefully study the significance of the recent Supreme court decision EDS vs King Salmon.

Section: Other

Submission DAP 2014/15.8 by Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility

Summary: Submitter references Dunedin City Councils Water Management and Minimisation Plan, and Nelsons recycling statistics (92% of citizens recycle).

Relief Sought: That council follows the examples of Dunedin and Nelson, and work to achieve a target of zero waste to landfill

Summary: Submitter recognises that electric and electronic devices, and infrastructure and wireless communication are accepted parts of modern life, but raised concern that the recent rise in use of these technologies has dramatically increased human exposure to electromagnetic radiation (EMR) and/or electromagnetic fields (EMF).

Relief Sought: That Council recognise that electromagnetic disturbances are on the increase and that understanding and controlling the electrical environment is essential for the protection of individuals and communities.

Summary: As a Charitable Trust established to provide independent scientific assessment and advice on matters relating to genetic engineering and other scientific and medical matters. The submitter urges Council to apply strong precautionary policies on genetically engineered organisms and on nanoparticles for Regional plans to meet the duty of care to the community and to protect the environment. The submitter requests that council be cognisant of the risks of genetically engineered organisms, nanoparticles, glyphosate-based herbicides, fluoride and EMR/EMF in terms of human health.

Relief Sought: That Council apply strong precautionary policies on genetically engineered organisms and on nanoparticles for Regional plans, and that council be aware of the risks of genetically engineered organisms, nanoparticles, glyphosate-based herbicides, fluoride and EMR/EMF in terms of human health.

Summary: Submitter raises concern in regard to fluoridated water supply.

Relief Sought: That, in the interests of public health, Council maintains fluoride-free drinking water supplies

Summary: Submitter raises concern in regard to nanotechnology and waste disposal.

Relief Sought: That council work closely on developing safety measures in regard to manufactured nano materials.

Summary: Submitter raises concern in regard to off- and on-shore drilling for oil and gas.

Relief Sought: That council actively participate in the community on the issue of exploratory drilling for oil and gas, and that it remain publicly notifiable, open to public submissions and hearings.

Submission DAP 2014/15.11 by Ms Mary Mc Donald

Summary: Submitter requests that a cap on tenure be considered. States that the need to keep changing people in power is the basis of the electoral system and is important to keep representatives relevant and non-complacent, and to avoid corruption.

Relief Sought: That the length of tenure in council be debated and opened for submission.

Submission DAP 2014/15.11 by Ms Mary Mc Donald

Summary: Submitter states that the voting system should be changed from First Past the Post to Single Transferrable Vote. States that this would require more thought by voters and would give new candidates a better chance of being elected.

Submitter also requests a return to the ballot box system of casting votes, instead of the current postal voting system.

Relief Sought: That Council consider a change in the voting system from First Past the Post to Single Transferable Vote, and the reintroduction of the ballot box.

Submission DAP 2014/15.15 by Mr Alan W Agnew

Summary: Submitter proposes the provision of a shared (multi-use) indoor sports stadium for Whangarei, possibly located in the building that is currently used by the Countdown supermarket in Kensington.

Relief Sought: While no specific relief is sought, it is inferred that submitter seeks that the provision of a share indoor sports stadium be considered for Whangarei, with specific consideration given to the site currently occupied by the Countdown supermarket in Kensington.

Submission DAP 2014/15.16 by Northland District Health Board

Summary: Submitter urges monitoring of air quality to be well resourced to ensure robust performance and full compliance.

Relief Sought: Provide for resourcing of air quality monitoring.

Submission DAP 2014/15.16 by Northland District Health Board

Summary: Submitter supports the review of welfare arrangements in regard to hazard management, and wishes to contribute to this. Submitter also supports the further development of Community Response Plans, and states that Maori should be engaged in both of these reviews/developments.

Relief Sought: Provide for the review of welfare arrangements in regard to hazard management, and for the further development of Community Response Plans, and allow for the engagement of Maori in the development of both of these.

Submission DAP 2014/15.26 by Farmers of New Zealand Inc

Summary: Submitter questions the use of independent commissioners in deliberating regional plans.

Relief Sought: Consider a more balanced approach to future planning documents and acknowledge the need to deliver and protect real cost benefit analysis

Submission DAP 2014/15.43 by Ms Helga Sonier

Summary: The submitter (The Blind Foundation) notes that the online information regarding the draft annual plan and the submissions process is difficult to navigate using a screen reader and difficult to follow and read for anyone with low vision. Particular reference is made to website links and PDF documents.

Relief Sought: No specific relief sought, however the submitter offers resources to guide greater accessibility to information.

Submission DAP 2014/15.43 by Ms Helga Sonier

Summary: Submitter recommends that where the Northland Regional Council is involved with the provision of public spaces, resources such as libraries, transportation, cultural and sporting events and all other public facilities, this is supported by awareness training, accessible technology and information and accessible design.

Submitter suggests that public awareness campaigns be established to alert organisations to barriers and solutions to include people who have vision loss in their planning for cultural and arts initiatives.

Submitter encourages the regulation of housing built to best practice universal design ensuring that it is safe and usable for those who are blind or have low vision.

Relief Sought: No specific relief is sought however the submitter (The Blind Foundation) offers advice and expertise in ensuring accessibility for those who are blind or have low vision.

Submission DAP 2014/15.134 by Mr Rolf Mueller Glodde

Summary: Submitter supports the budgeting of funds to put in place a policy for NRC responding to inward correspondence, to ensure ratepayer/customer satisfaction.

Relief Sought: Provide for funds to put in place a policy for responding to inward correspondence.

Submission DAP 2014/15.134 by Mr Rolf Mueller Glodde

Summary: Submitter supports increased spending on biosecurity protection to stop incursions of unwanted new organisms, GMO and otherwise.

Relief Sought: Provide for increased funding for biosecurity protection.

Submission DAP 2014/15.146 by Ms Shushila Ajani

Summary: Submitter supports the budgeting of funds to put in place a policy for NRC responding to inward correspondence, to ensure ratepayer/customer satisfaction.

Relief Sought: Provide for funds to put in place a policy for responding to inward correspondence.

Submission DAP 2014/15.146 by Ms Shushila Ajani

Summary: Submitter raises concern at the central government approach to biosecurity. Submitter supports increased NRC spending on biosecurity protection and active support of sustainable primary production in Northland.

Relief Sought: That adequate funds be allocated to critical biosecurity protection, protection and enhancement of lowland river and stream water quality, and to ensure that the finite resources that underpin all Northland primary production are protected and enhanced.

Submission DAP 2014/15.162 by Mr David Lourie

Summary: Stop funding mangrove removal. The science does not support their removal and proponents of removal "is an outmoded prejudice" which lowers the aesthetic and commercial value of a coastal location.

States money earmarked for the removal of mangroves would be more effectively spent on improving land management practices throughout the catchment

Relief Sought: Stop funding mangrove removal and fund improving land management practices instead.

Submission DAP 2014/15.162 by Mr David Lourie

Summary: Review the role and level of funding for Northland Inc. particularly with regard to the development of biotechnology that involves GMOs.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter thinks council rates for the reserve. Submitter questions how the Investment and Growth Reserve funds will give an equal, region wide benefit. Submitter raises concern about lack of economic development resulting from the reserve.

Submitter expresses an interest in working with NRC to develop an action plan that can be implemented in the short to medium term for addressing the Far North's economic opportunities.

Relief Sought: Wants consultation on projects to be funded from the reserve and to play a part through the triennial agreement in ensuring projects have equitable benefits for FNDC ratepayers/citizens.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter raises concern in regard to dust nuisance. Submitter supports any initiative to reduce dust not just as a nuisance but as a health hazard.

Submitter would support NRC putting extra funding into the plan for the purchase of mobile equipment to measure PM10 to ensure roads meet the NES, and would also support the lobbying of Central Government for increased funding to mitigate the effects of dust nuisances on unsealed rural roads.

Relief Sought: No specific relief is sought, however it is inferred that relief sought is: That the NRC consider the extra funding to assist the management of dust nuisance.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter raises concern about the continuation of the Investment and Growth Reserve. Submitter states that a proposal to set rates to increase the level of the reserve is now contrary to the intent of the Local Government Act 2002 as it was amended in 2012.

The submitter also raises concern about the use of the reserve to reduce the level of rates.

Submitter states that the purpose of the reserve is to support "economic development projects" and that using these monies or the revenue derived from these monies to subsidise rates is contrary to the purposes for which these funds were collected.

Relief Sought: No specific relief sought.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter supports the targeted rate for the Kerikeri–Waipapa Rivers Management Rate (River Management Activity).

Relief Sought: That that the work programme for Kerikeri-Waipapa Rivers Management be advanced.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter supports the aerial photography initiative, particularly as the local share may be reduced by funding from Land Information New Zealand (LINZ).

Relief Sought: No specific relief sought.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter comments on resource consent for the Kerikeri Wastewater Treatment Discharge.

Relief Sought: That NRC work closely with FNDC to expedite the Resource Consent for the Kerikeri Wastewater Treatment Discharge, recognising that the intent of Council is to achieve a high standard of discharge quality for the long term future of Kerikeri.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter expresses desire to work with NRC to achieve a resolution for the drainage schemes in the area and assist in establishing a management structure that is appropriately matched to the scale and the purpose of these schemes.

Relief Sought: Work with FNDC for satisfactory resolution on Northern drainage schemes.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter discusses the possibility of shared services, and would like to continue discussions particularly around shared accommodation.

Relief Sought: Continue discussions with FNDC regarding shared services.

Submission DAP 2014/15.170 by Far North District Council

Summary: Submitter acknowledges that work needs to be carried out on the Kaeo flood scheme and is pleased to see that NRC will wait for the resulting impact of stage 1 before contemplating stage 2.

Relief Sought: That the repayment for the loan be over a longer timeframe and that the rate remain at \$74.53 or if stage 2 is not progressed then a significant readjustment be made in the 2015/25 Long Term Plan.

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: The submitter supports the Investment and Growth Reserve, and the criteria by which this is governed.

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: Submitter outlines the importance of tourism to the Northland economy, and supports the increased contribution within the budget for Northland Inc to be assigned to Northland promotional work.

Submission DAP 2014/15.171 by New Zealand Chambers of Commerce Northland

Summary: Submitter raises concern over lack of initiatives for council to be business-friendly. Submitter recognises the difficulties faced by the Council in engaging with the business community in consultation and the submitter offers the support of their networks to assist in this process.

Submitter supports any initiative that attracts inward investment into Northland or makes it easier for people who want to invest in the future of the Region to do business with Council but doesn't want existing businesses to be penalised.

Submitter supports the Council's focus on economic growth and states that these activities should be focused on as part of creating a more outward-looking productive high-value economy.

Relief Sought: That the NRC continue to explore ways to consult early and with all, in a meaningful way and the encouragement of inward investment be undertaken in a strategic manner identifying potential sectors that are lacking or complementary clusters that can be built on, in an equitable manner.

Provide for the continued investment in Northland Inc and their SOI Create an organisational culture that is business friendly.

Submission DAP 2014/15.184 by Tutukaka Coast Ratepayers and Residents Association Inc.

Summary: Submitter outlines the Ngunguru Streetscape Concept Plans proposed and supported by the community, and outlines the plans connection to the coastal statements in the RPS. Concept plan is attached to submission.

Relief Sought: That the Ngunguru community plan be given the NRC's full support. (no funding requested)

That the NRC assist by streamlining and minimising cost of future resource consents relating to seawall re-building waterfront development.

Submission DAP 2014/15.188 by The EnviroSchools Foundation

Summary: Submitter recognises the support NRC provides to the EnviroSchools programme, and outlines the beneficial outcomes of the programme.

Relief Sought: That NRC maintains its involvement in EnviroSchools.

Submission DAP 2014/15.202 by Kaipara District Council

Summary: Supports resolving the RPS appeals and the review of the regional plans.

Submission DAP 2014/15.202 by Kaipara District Council

Summary: Supports review of the Pest Management strategies and that monitoring of the strategies should be included to ensure outcomes are delivered. Wants clarification of how communities will implement the strategies and what support is available. Anticipates being involved.

Submission DAP 2014/15.202 by Kaipara District Council

Summary: Wants council to continue to develop visitor action plans and business continuity plans for Kaipara communities and that Kaipara District businesses be included in the Business continuity projects.

Relief Sought: Kaipara District businesses be included in the Business continuity projects and continue our work in the Kaipara.

Submission DAP 2014/15.202 by Kaipara District Council

Summary: KDC acknowledges council's involvement in the Taharoa domain work and specifically for pests and the reserve management plan. KDC wants continued funding for pest management for the Taharoa Domain because the work is ongoing.

Relief Sought: requests 200k for pest management in the Taharoa Domain for 2014/15 and ongoing funding for LTP and subsequent Annual Plans.

Requests continued support for the reserve management plan.

Submission DAP 2014/15.221 by Mr Alan W Agnew

Summary: **Same submission as 015, with the addition of photographs** re indoor bowls

Submission DAP 2014/15.241 by Mr Chris Richmond

Summary: Supports new aerial photography given outdated/low quality imagery we have now.

Relief Sought: Complete the Aerial photography project.

Submission DAP 2014/15.241 by Mr Chris Richmond

Summary: More funding for pest management / biosecurity. Especially for new species/pests/threats.

Relief Sought: More funding for biosecurity.

Section: Unitary Authority

Submission DAP 2014/15.26 by Farmers of New Zealand Inc

Summary: Submitter does not support a regional unitary authority, or the commissions findings to date, and states the importance of the people of Northland being given the ultimate decision and responsibility for future structure.

Relief Sought: That the NRC take a leadership role in ensuring democracy is not ignored with any sweeping changes.

That the Council ensure whatever the final outcome proposed that they lead and fund a campaign to ensure a Poll is required.

Section: Surf Life Saving Northland

Submissions under this section raise the same point and most seek the following relief (although some do not specify a relief):

Summary: raises concern that the Draft Annual Plan does not make provision for the week day lifeguard service that operates on numerous beaches in the Northland Regional Council area.

Relief Sought: That amendment is made to the Draft Annual Plan to include \$20,000 to go towards Surf Life Saving Northland Region, to enable them to assist the Northland Regional Council in delivering its water safety objectives.

The submitters were as follows:

DAP 2014/15.27 by Mr Greg Blomfield	DAP 2014/15.78 by Ms Debbie Fong
DAP 2014/15.28 by Mrs Treena Devery	DAP 2014/15.79 by Mr Kevin and Mrs Gaylene Hudson
DAP 2014/15.30 by Mrs Liz O'neil	DAP 2014/15.80 by Miss Alice Neville Smith
DAP 2014/15.31 by Mr Nigel Taylor	DAP 2014/15.82 by Miss Leanne Burke
DAP 2014/15.32 by Mr John Kearney	DAP 2014/15.83 by Mrs Paula Kearney
DAP 2014/15.34 by Mrs Marianne Mc Gregor	DAP 2014/15.84 by Ms Diane Hurford
DAP 2014/15.35 by Miss Sharleen Mcgonagle	DAP 2014/15.85 by Miss Hannah Williams
DAP 2014/15.37 by Far North Surf Rescue	DAP 2014/15.86 by Miss Danielle Fuemana
DAP 2014/15.38 by Ruakaka Surf Life Saving Patrol Inc	DAP 2014/15.89 by Mr Leif Neilson
DAP 2014/15.40 by Waipu Surf Lifesaving Club	DAP 2014/15.90 by Mr Brad Symes
DAP 2014/15.41 by Mangawhai Heads Volunteer Lifeguard Service	DAP 2014/15.91 by Mr Hammond Fowler
DAP 2014/15.42 by Mr Dan Russek	DAP 2014/15.92 by Mrs Denise Jelicich
DAP 2014/15.44 by Mrs Rochelle Sanders	DAP 2014/15.94 by Mr Will Mclay
DAP 2014/15.45 by Mr Geof Jackett	DAP 2014/15.95 by Ms Natalia Comp
DAP 2014/15.46 by Ms Jacqueline Mein	DAP 2014/15.96 by Mrs Rachel Symes
DAP 2014/15.47 by Mrs Marie Stewart	DAP 2014/15.97 by Mr Carwyn Thomas
DAP 2014/15.48 by Mr Ian Mackie	DAP 2014/15.98 by Ms Danae Smith
DAP 2014/15.49 by Mrs Jeanne Burke	DAP 2014/15.99 by Mr David Quimby
DAP 2014/15.50 by Mrs Julie Gordon	DAP 2014/15.100 by Ms Ria Kemp
DAP 2014/15.51 by Mr Kyle Taylor	DAP 2014/15.101 by Miss Alice Finlayson
DAP 2014/15.52 by Mr Ian Cantley	DAP 2014/15.103 by Ms Fiona Parkes
DAP 2014/15.53 by Mr Rodney Brine	DAP 2014/15.104 by Mrs Carla Campbell
DAP 2014/15.54 by Ms Nicola Thompson	DAP 2014/15.105 by Miss Maysha Ahrens
DAP 2014/15.55 by Miss Briar Taylor	DAP 2014/15.106 by Ms Rochelle Telfer
DAP 2014/15.56 by Mrs Phillipa Taylor	DAP 2014/15.107 by Ms Eryn Rogers
DAP 2014/15.57 by Mrs Janetta Mayerhofler	DAP 2014/15.108 by Mr Jared Corston
DAP 2014/15.58 by Mr Chris Mayerhofler	DAP 2014/15.110 by Mrs Kathleen Forsythe
DAP 2014/15.59 by Mrs Gayle Stanners	DAP 2014/15.111 by Mrs Sharon Booth
DAP 2014/15.60 by Mrs Gwentyth Tilton	DAP 2014/15.112 by Mrs Lisa Moutira
DAP 2014/15.61 by Mrs Briar Burke	DAP 2014/15.113 by Mr Tui Moutira
DAP 2014/15.62 by Mrs Annika Taylor	DAP 2014/15.114 by Mrs Cheryl Kitchen
DAP 2014/15.63 by Mr Trevor Sampson	DAP 2014/15.115 by Mrs Joss Trounce
DAP 2014/15.64 by Mr Russell and Mrs Elizabeth Heape	DAP 2014/15.116 by Mr Ryan Trounce
DAP 2014/15.65 by Mr David Traill	DAP 2014/15.117 by Miss Kim Davis
DAP 2014/15.66 by Mr Jonathan Woolacott	DAP 2014/15.118 by Mr Paul Price
DAP 2014/15.67 by Mrs Lisa Mills	DAP 2014/15.119 by Mr Glenn Hawes
DAP 2014/15.68 by Mrs Lynnette Corkin	DAP 2014/15.120 by Mr David and Mrs Julie Hamilton
DAP 2014/15.69 by Mrs Alicja (Ala) Szostek	DAP 2014/15.121 by Mr Kelly and Mrs Gould
DAP 2014/15.70 by Ms Selina Gordon	DAP 2014/15.123 by Mr David Devereux
DAP 2014/15.71 by Mr Neil Campbell	DAP 2014/15.124 by Ms Trudy Thomson
DAP 2014/15.72 by Mr Rhys Rogers	DAP 2014/15.125 by Mr David Rodrigue
DAP 2014/15.73 by Ms Vivienne Rogers	DAP 2014/15.126 by Mrs Jennifer Rodrigue
DAP 2014/15.74 by Mrs Shirley Rundle	DAP 2014/15.127 by Mangawhai Heads
DAP 2014/15.75 by Mr Mark Lewis	DAP 2014/15.128 by Mr Brian Mc Rae
DAP 2014/15.76 by Mr Martin Taylor	DAP 2014/15.129 by Mr Tim Manning
DAP 2014/15.77 by Miss Nicole Butturini	DAP 2014/15.130 by Mr Kevin Ross

DAP 2014/15.131 by WHVSLSP / People Potential
DAP 2014/15.132 by People Potential
DAP 2014/15.133 by Mrs Lyn Bourke
DAP 2014/15.135 by Whangarei heads Surf Lifesaving / People Potential
DAP 2014/15.136 by Mrs Sharon Hill
DAP 2014/15.137 by Mrs Anne Marie Abel
DAP 2014/15.138 by Miss Amy Van Hooydonk
DAP 2014/15.139 by Mr Chris Eldridge
DAP 2014/15.140 by Mrs Bronwyn Ronayne
DAP 2014/15.142 by Mrs Anne Marie Tyson
DAP 2014/15.143 by Mr Chris Palmer
DAP 2014/15.144 by Mrs Alicia Godfrey
DAP 2014/15.145 by Mr Mike Abel
DAP 2014/15.149 by People Potential
DAP 2014/15.151 by Mr Joshua Godfrey
DAP 2014/15.152 by Mrs Fiona King
DAP 2014/15.153 by People Potential
DAP 2014/15.154 by People Potential
DAP 2014/15.155 by Ms Toni Cunningham
DAP 2014/15.156 by Mr Warren Dunn
DAP 2014/15.157 by Mrs Lynn Allan
DAP 2014/15.158 by Miss Laloya Hood
DAP 2014/15.159 by Mr Steven King
DAP 2014/15.160 by People Potential
DAP 2014/15.161 by Mrs Julie Levell
DAP 2014/15.163 by Mr Te Waratai Mackie
DAP 2014/15.164 by Mr Kyran Maher

DAP 2014/15.196 by Miss Vera De Vries
DAP 2014/15.197 by Mr Luke Faber
DAP 2014/15.198 by Miss Andrea Fowler
DAP 2014/15.199 by Mr Anthony Hawken
DAP 2014/15.200 by Kumarani Productions
DAP 2014/15.203 by Surf Life Saving Northern Region
DAP 2014/15.204 by Mr Mark Leslie Rolfe
DAP 2014/15.205 by Mr Roel Schuring
DAP 2014/15.206 by Mrs Kristin Schuster
DAP 2014/15.207 by Mrs Annissa Thompson
DAP 2014/15.208 by Mr Andrew Walker
DAP 2014/15.209 by Mr Maurice Weatherall
DAP 2014/15.210 by Mrs Nelsa Weatherall
DAP 2014/15.211 by Mr Karilyn Woodford
DAP 2014/15.212 by Mr Simon Yates
DAP 2014/15.219 by Mrs Sue Woffindin
DAP 2014/15.222 by Mr Jon and Mrs Jane Bailey
DAP 2014/15.223 by WCSLSC
DAP 2014/15.224 by Mr Ian Godfrey

DAP 2014/15.165 by Waipu Cove Surf Lifesaving Club
DAP 2014/15.166 by People Potential
DAP 2014/15.167 by Waipu Cove Surf Lifesaving Club
DAP 2014/15.168 by Waipu Cove Surf Lifesaving Club
DAP 2014/15.169 by Whangarei Heads Surf Lifesaving Club
DAP 2014/15.172 by Mr Anthony Poa
DAP 2014/15.173 by Ms Paula Powell
DAP 2014/15.174 by People Potential
DAP 2014/15.175 by People Potential
DAP 2014/15.176 by Mrs Renee Wright
DAP 2014/15.177 by Miss Rebecca Simper
DAP 2014/15.178 by Mr Zev Hurikino Teepa
DAP 2014/15.179 by Mrs Kate Steadman
DAP 2014/15.180 by Mrs Sue Godfrey
DAP 2014/15.181 by Mrs Michelle Tomlinson
DAP 2014/15.185 by Waipu Cove Surf Life Saving Club
DAP 2014/15.186 by Miss Te Awhi Whatarau
DAP 2014/15.187 by Mrs Andrea Ross
DAP 2014/15.190 by Mr Ross Abercrombie
DAP 2014/15.191 by Mrs Jan Brewer
DAP 2014/15.192 by Baylys Beach Holiday Park
DAP 2014/15.193 by Mrs Heather Cashin
DAP 2014/15.194 by Mr Duncan Cavaye
DAP 2014/15.195 by Kauri Coast 4 x 4 Club
DAP 2014/15.229 by Mr Reg and Mrs Cherry Smith
DAP 2014/15.230 by Mrs Tara Nolan
DAP 2014/15.231 by Mr Daniel Molina
DAP 2014/15.232 by Miss Emma Wilson
DAP 2014/15.233 by Ms Lillian Hanly
DAP 2014/15.234 by Miss Grace Adams
DAP 2014/15.235 by Mr Greg Maddox
DAP 2014/15.236 by Mrs Karen Campbell
DAP 2014/15.237 by Mr Sean Cahalane
DAP 2014/15.238 by Ms Karen Grammer
DAP 2014/15.240 by Mrs Phillipa Dickson
DAP 2014/15.242 by Mrs Judith Stevenson
DAP 2014/15.243 by Mr James Keinzley
DAP 2014/15.244 by Miss Eleanor Mulrennan
DAP 2014/15.245 by Miss Esther Bushell
DAP 2014/15.246 by Mr Calvin Thomas
DAP 2014/15.249 by Mr Pat and Garry Grant
DAP 2014/15.252 by Surf Life Saving Northern Region

Section: GE

The majority of submitters raised concern that the DAP did not mention nor provide enough protection for Northland's GM free status and the implementation of the precautionary GE provisions in the new Northland RPS (and the relevant Regional Plans) and requested further financial provision should be made to keep Northland GE free and include this in our regional plan review. Some submitters wanted council to withdraw from the RPS appeals on GE and to include prohibitive rules in our regional plans. A number of submitters raised concern that the council had failed to replace its elected representative on the Northland/Auckland ICWP on GMOs.

DAP 2014/15.8 by Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility

DAP 2014/15.17 by Mrs Ruth Jacqueline Ancliffe
DAP 2014/15.19 by Mr Thomas and Mrs Beate Lauterbach
DAP 2014/15.36 by Mr Steve Goldthorpe
DAP 2014/15.134 by Mr Rolf Mueller Glodde
DAP 2014/15.134 by Mr Rolf Mueller Glodde
DAP 2014/15.146 by Ms Shushila Ajani
DAP 2014/15.146 by Ms Shushila Ajani
DAP 2014/15.162 by Mr David Lourie
DAP 2014/15.225 by Ms Zelka Linda Grammer and Family
DAP 2014/15.226 by Kerikeri Organics
DAP 2014/15.227 by Mrs Margaret Hicks
DAP 2014/15.228 by GE Free Northland
DAP 2014/15.239 by Ms Beverley Gott